

Committee: [Assigned Committee] | [Additional Information] | [Month, Year]

Main Submitter: [One only]

Co-Submitters: [One required, three max.]

Topic: [Title of the Debate/Resolution]

Sponsors: [List of Sponsoring Countries, if any]

Signatories: [List of Supporting Countries, four at least, no limit overall]

[Committee],

Bearing in mind that at least **four preambulatory clauses** are needed.

Realizing that some delegates may oppose the resolution template.

Guided by the belief that concordance is necessary in certain situations.

Aware that there are now four preambulatory clauses, as needed.

1. *Confirms* that at least **five operative clauses** are needed,
 - a. *Emphasizing* that there are no limits to sub-clauses, but that sub-sub clauses are not allowed,
 - b. *Encourages* for double spacing, **12 size font** and concise writing,
 - c. *Further supporting* the lack of limits to sub-clauses while discouraging repetition,
2. *Reminds* that no line counts are needed,
3. *Proclaims* that the Best Written Resolution award will go to one that is cogent,
4. *Discourages* the use of any informal fonts, except the use of formal fonts such as **Times New Roman**.
5. *Takes note of* how there are now five operative clauses, as needed.